

CALL for empanelment of Investigative Committee

A charge and allegation is hereby brought **against Barbara Hawn**, and other conspiratorial persons yet unknown, for violations of State law and/or of the Washoe County Republican Central Committee (WCRCC) Bylaws and Roberts Rules of Order in unilaterally without authority withholding and/or removing Gary Schmidt's name from the rolls of the newly elected Central Committee (CC) members at the Convention on April 2nd, 2016. It would appear that she, and/or persons unknown, actually removed Mr. Schmidt's name from the CC membership rolls based solely on a new Bylaw (ARTICLE III MEMBERSHIP Section 3. D.) that had not yet been brought before the Convention, let alone adopted.

If Barbara Hawn (or others) felt that there was cause or even a mandate to remove Mr. Schmidt from the CC based upon the passage of that new Bylaw or subsequent to its passage, the proper process and procedure would be to bring charges before the Executive Committee or the CC, as is being done here against her, and demand an Investigative Committee be empanelled to investigate and to report findings and recommendations to the body of the Central Committee for consideration and possible action. There is a process that is due under the Bylaws/Roberts Rules!!

Barbara Hawn's actions in this matter of ignoring the process that is due and on her own (or in conspiracy with others) just removing Schmidt's name from the new CC roster is particularly egregious in that its not the first such abusive act of this nature she has perpetrated against Mr. Schmidt. She was one of twelve co-conspirators who last year attempted to remove Mr. Schmidt from the CC in total disregard and in violation of the Bylaws/Roberts Rules. A previous Investigative Committee cited and condemned her and the other eleven persons for the previous attempt to remove Schmidt from the CC without going through the process that is due.

It is believed that these egregious acts, now repeated twice within a twelve month period, warrant Hawn's removal from the CC and banishment for at least a four year period. Removal from the CC for a lesser time period and/or censorship may be appropriate for others committing lesser transgressions in this matter. A new Investigative Committee will have the previous investigation and report to work from as well as two parliamentarian opinions on the subject that have now been adopted by the CC.

To the Office of the Nevada Secretary of State Election Fraud Division

I was one of 14 Republican Party members elected as a Delegate to the County Convention at the Caucus Meeting for precinct number 8236 on February 23rd, 2016, in Washoe County. There were 14 Delegate seats available and 14 persons elected as Delegates which also included my husband, Gary Schmidt. Gary had been elected to Chair the meeting as he had also been so elected two years prior.

At the County Convention held on April 2nd, 2016, I was denied my status as a lawful Delegate for over one half of the Convention. During that time period that I was denied participation in the Convention a new Bylaw was allegedly adopted a copy of which is attached. I was not allowed to speak on that Bylaw proposal or to vote on it. I was fervently opposed to it. It was targeted directly at my husband, Gary Schmidt. I was denied my right to participate at that time, I believe, substantially by Phyllis Westrup the alleged Chair of the Credentials Committee (which was illegally impaneled). I believe she targeted both myself as well as my husband because of her vocally expressed animosity and hostility towards my husband.

My husband, Gary Schmidt, was also denied his Delegate status at that time and denied his opportunity to participate during that time period. Phyllis Westrup had previous been determined to be in substantial violation of Bylaws/Roberts Rules and cited by a Central Committee Investigative Committee (along with others) for a previous "illegal" (in violation of the Bylaws) attempt to remove my husband from the CC.

The Bylaw, adopted by a very narrow margin at the Convention, was a punitive action directed solely at my husband and (if not invalidated by Court action) could in essence remove and ban my husband for life from the Central Committee.

Many other Delegates that were opposed to the adoption of that Bylaw, I believe, were also denied their lawful Delegate status during the time period that that Bylaw was being considered and was ultimately adopted by a narrow margin. Since it is inherently obvious by its content that that Bylaw was punitive in nature and directed solely at my husband, it should also be noted that several another CC members and Delegates, that had been cited for an illegal attempt previously to remove my husband from the CC, were "counters" on the vote on that Bylaw proposal. They obviously should have not been permitted to participate in that capacity because of a conflict of interest.

I believe that a conspiracy and a form of election fraud has been committed by denying myself and others our rightful positions and status as participating Delegates during the consideration of that Bylaw adoption during the first half of the Convention.

I believe that that Bylaw adopted should be vacated and that criminal charges for conspiracy to commit election fraud should be considered.

From this point further I will be represented by legal council in this matter. Please direct any further written communications to the Kozak Law Firm at 3100 Mill Street, Suite 115, Reno Nevada 89502. No phones calls please; emails or written communications only! Legal counsel has been instructed to maintain a strict "paper trail" and file in this serious matter .

Sharon Anderson

CC Chuck Kozak, Attorney at Law
 Nevada State Republican Party
 National Republican Party
 Donald Trump Campaign Dirty Tricks Committee

Office of the Nevada State Attorney General
Associated Press and All Affiliates

Start of a sec of state complaint

All the training sessions presented by Phyllis Westrup prior to the Precinct/Caucus meetings indicated that the number of "nominees" for Central Committee membership allowed to move forward for "election" at the County Convention was LIMITED to the number of a actual positions of CC member available in each Precinct. Persons were told that if more persons wished to be nominated than there were CC positions available, there would have to be an "election" at the Precinct Meeting to reduce the number of "nominees" down to the number of CC positions available. This is contrary to State law that dictates that the "election" of CC members is to be at the County Convention.

Many Precinct meetings merely took names on a list for CC membership and stopped when they reached the maximum number of positions available in that Precinct and did not have an "election" between nominees at the meeting as they had been instructed. This is also contrary to State law and any commonly accepted practice. Nominations for an elected office are never limited, let alone to the number of positions available. In that case there is no actual election which is a decision by vote between nominees.

Attached see instruction sheet widely distributed and used in the training classes.

Many person were denied their lawful opportunity to compete in an actual election process and have illegally been denied membership in the CC or a least the opportunity to be elected as a member.

Delete

Other actions:

Move to folder



OK